App F IX 4

Pasel 205

1928

( me )

File No 10-28

Serial Nos. 1162/28

*Previous References*

me 36-218829

*Later References*

# General and Revenue Secretariat.

*Serial Nos*

**Part B for** May 29

SUBJECT

proposal to fix up the pay of Mr Sampangiramaiah Sanj Draftsman of the Inspection municipalities at Rs 55/- his further increments being sanctioned from ~~1st September of the succeeding years~~

128 Do, The President, Inspection Municipalities Complaller to Govt MDA 7617-87 d 20 0 1929

Sanctions the proposal referred to above

015/29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rul File No 10 of 1928

| | Serial No | |
|---|---|---|
| | 116 | Letter no 509/28-29 dated 3/6 April 1929 from the President, Town mul cl, T requesting for reasons stated therein the pay of mr H Sampange Ramaiah, Sanitary Inspector of the Tumkur municipality may be fixed up as Rs 55/- from 1-9-1928 and that his further annual increment be sanctioned from the 1st of the succeeding years |
| puo | | mr H Sampange Ramaiah, Sanitary Inspector of the Tumkur municipality joined service on 1-9-1918 As he was already in before the passing of the G O no 16474-95/mul 184-16-23 dated 24th June 1919, his increments ought to have been sanctioned from 1-9-1919 But his increments were sanctioned only from 1st July 1920 |
| flag y | | Thus a period of 10 months was left out of consideration while sanctioning his annual increment Had his increments been from 1-9-1919, at the end of 10 years' service c,e from 1st 1928 he would be getting Rs 50/- plus Rs 5/- being the high price allowance But whereas he is only Rs 53/- from 1st July 1928 |

Note—Contin sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat | ml File No 10 | of 192 8

Serial No.

The President, Town Council, Tumkur now recommends that the pay of the Sanitary Inspector may be fixed up as Rs 55 from 1-9-1928, that his ~~biennial~~ annual increments be sanctioned from the 1st Sept of the succeeding years ~~The Financial Branch may perhaps be consulted~~

P & O

Mutt 24/4 The President also cites the case of Mr K Thamaiya, the late Sanitary Inspector of the municipality whose pay was increased from Rs 34/- to Rs 40/- in G O No d 2375-6/ml 36-21-9 Dated 19/10/21 - The recommendation may be ~~sanctioned~~ The Financial Secrt may perhaps be consulted

Dy R

Mutt 24/4 RG. (for Secy)

24/4

Second Secretary (for Fin Secy)

26/4

Jr 3933
29/4/28

FINANCIAL SECRETARY
1 MAY 1928
6733

8594/25

Diff CSR 11-5-28

Note —Contn. sheet

4623

App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Encl File No 10 | of 192 8

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | Sulled with a draft for appl with 13/5 Kg in 15 — Done 20 16/5 |
| 123 | No L 7617-8 Dy 20-5-29 to the Addl, Flash mil el, Tunkur Dis'l C, Tunkur & comptr |




Note—Contn. sheet

Jo 509
28-29

Enc ord

MC 10-28-116

Office of the President,
Town Municipal Council,
Dated, Tumkur, the 3rd April 1929
6

From

K Ranga Iyengar, Esquire . B A LL B ,
President, Town Municipal Council,
TUMKUR

To

T Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esquire , B A , B L ,
General Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Bangalore

Through

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No 16474 -95 1 '24-16-23 dated 24th June 1919 sanctioning the revision of the scale of pay and prospects of Sanitary Inspectors in which it is noted that the Sanitary Inspector will be started on Rs. 35/- per mensem including Rs 5/- being the high price allowance which is subsequently added, and be on probation for 2 years add that his service count only from the 3rd year for earning increments Further the Government in their order No R. 6700-17/I dated 9th December 1919 ordered that the probationary period of 2 years mentioned above does not apply to those who were already in service before the passing of the above Government Order of June 24th, 1919 , I have the honor to state that one Sri H Ganpangiramiah who is working as Sanitary Inspector in this Municipality represents that though he was appointed before the passing of the Government Order dated 24th June 1919 and though the Government Order is clear stating that the Probationary period does not apply to him for calculating increments as he was already in service, his incre-

increments are sanctioned only from the 1st July 1920, instead of 1-9-1919 by which time he had completed one year approved service

He has fully described as to how is eligible to get the said increment and how the period of 10 months was not taken into account while sanctioning his further annual increments from the begining The applicationis enclosed herein for kind perusal Further he has also mentioned in his application that it is quite sufficient if his pay is fixed at Rs 55/- from 1-9-1928 by which time he will have completed 10 years approved service, and that he will not claimed the arrears Taking into the consideration of his service and perusal of above Government Orders the representations made by the Sanitary Inspector seems to be reasonable In this connection I may add that a similar reference was made from this office in the case of Mr K Shamayya who was a Sanitary Inspector of this Municipality some time ago and the Government were pleased to sanction his increments vide Government Order No L 2375-6-Ml 36-21-9 dated the 19th October 1921

Therefore I request that the matter may kindly be placed before the Government for fixing up the pay of H.Sampangi-Ramaiah, Sanitary Inspector as Rs 55/- from 1-9-1928 and also to sanction his further annual increments only from the 1st September of the succeeding years, as per reasons quoted above and their sanction obtained.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

President,
Town Municipal Council,
Tumkur.

GHR/3/4

To

The President,

Town Municipal Council,

TUMKUR

Sir,

I beg to submit the following few lines to your kind and favourable consideration.

I have put in a total service of 10 years and 6 months since I joined the Sanitary Department as from 15/6/1918 According to Government Order No 16874-95 Fl 184-16-23 dated 24th June 1919 sanctioning the revision of the scale of pay and prospects of Sanitary Inspectors, the Sanitary Inspectors at the first instance will be kept on probation for two years, and their annual increments will fall due only from third year But there was a subsequent Government Order No 6704-17 IB 70-19-2 dated 9th December 1919 ordering that the period of two years probation mentioned in the former Government Order does not apply to those who were already in service Taking into consideration all my previous service and annual increments which are sanctioned from time to time, at the end of my 10th years service i.e., from the 1st September 1928 I must get Rs 50/- plus Rs 5/- being the high price allowance which is added to my pay as per G 'But whereas I am getting only Rs 53/- from the 1st July 1928 The reason is that a period of 10 months service rendered as Asst. Sanitary Inspector is not taken into consideration for sanctioning my further annual increments. It is quite clear from the above Government Order that the probationary period does not apply to me as I was already in service before my

passing of the above

Therefore I humbly request that a reference may kindly be made to Government to fix my pay as Rs.55/- (including Rs.5/- being the high price allowance subsequently added) from the 1st September 1928 by which date I completed my 10 years service and that my future annual increments should fall due only on 1st September of the succeeding years, instead of 1st July as it is now

I beg to bring to your kind notice that a similar representation was made to you sometime back by Mr. K Shamayya who was a Sanitary Inspector of this Municipality and the same was got sanctioning by Government in their Order No. L 2375-6 Ml. 3c-21-9 dated Bangalore the 19th October 1921.

Hoping that my humble prayer will receive sympathitic consideration and favourable orders.

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servent,

Dated 12th March 1929
TUMKUR.

H Sampanga Ramaya
Sanitary Inspector,
Municipal Office,
TUMKUR.

PS

It is quite correct if I am promoted to Rs 55/- for the 1st September 1928 and my future annual increments may be sanctioned only after 1st September of the succeeding years. I do not claim the difference of pay back from the 1st September after the promotion is sanctioned as prayed for in the application

H Sampanga Ramaya
12/3/29

G.R.S. VI G 31]

SUBJECT

*Diary No of letter replied to* 6623

Section Rev
File No 10 - 28
Serial No 128

**General and Revenue Secretariat**

( Rev )

, *the* 199

*Proceedings of the Government of*
*His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

**Date of issue.** 20/5/1929

**Signed by** Sd/- D. K. S Singh

*Read*—

letter no 509/28-29 dated 9/6 April 1929 from the Pdt, Town mul Cl, Tumkur requesting for reasons stated therein that the pay of one Mr Lampanna Gowda, Sanitary Inspector of the Tumkur municipality may be fixed up as Rs 55/- from 1-9-1928 and that his further annual increments be sanctioned from the 1st August of the succeeding years

order no L 7611-8 dt 20

**May this be**

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Sanctioned

Sd/- 10/5

Mdt 13/5 Sd/- 14/5 for Secy to Govt

The Pdt, Town mul Cl, Tumkur and the D C, Tumkur
" Complied